# 			
1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California E. A. Jones III Supervising Deputy Attorney General EDWARD KIM		
3			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195729		
5	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7336		
7	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke	Case No. 800-2016-024236	
12	Probation Against,		
13 14	LYLE WESLEY ROYER, M.D. 3222 La Cienega Avenue #205 Culver City, CA 90232	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 34553,	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	FINDING	S OF FACT	
19	1. On or about October 21, 2016, Comp	plainant Kimberly Kirchmeyer, in her official	
20	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer		
21	Affairs, filed Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-024236 against Lyle Wesley Royer,		
22	M.D. (Respondent) before the Medical Board of California.		
23	2. On or about September 11, 1972, the Medical Board of California (Board) issued		
24	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 34553 to Respondent. The Physician's and		
25	Surgeon's Certificate expired on July 31, 2016, and has not been renewed. A true and correct		
26	copy of a certification of licensure for Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
27	Number C 34553 is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
28	3. On or about October 21, 2016, an en	nployee of the Complainant Agency, served by	

Certified Mail, a copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-024236, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense form (2 copies), Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507 .5. 11507 .6. and 11507. 7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation, the related documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as Exhibit B, and are incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Service of the Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. On or about December 7, 2016, a probation inspection with the Board met with Respondent and he acknowledged that he received the Petition to Revoke Probation and accompanying documents. A declaration of the probation inspector is attached as <a href="Exhibit C">Exhibit C</a>, and is incorporated herein by reference.
  - 6. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
- "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such ground."
  - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-024236.
  - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

- "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in the exhibits attached hereto, finds that the allegations in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-024236 ("Petition") are true. The Board further finds that Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline in that he violated the terms of his probation as stated in the Petition and engaged in unprofessional conduct.

#### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D. has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 34553 to discipline.
- 2. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached.
  - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Medical Board of California is authorized to revoke Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate based upon the violations alleged in the Petition to Revoke Probation.

1/// 1//

## · 20

#### **ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 34553, heretofore issued to Respondent LYLE WESLEY ROYER, M.D., is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on <u>February 10, 2017</u>. It is so ORDERED <u>January 11, 2017</u>

FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Name: Kimberly Kirchmeyer Title: Executive Director

		•	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California E. A. JONES III Supervising Deputy Attorney General EDWARD KIM Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195729 California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7336 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant  BEFOR MEDICAL BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CO	OF CALIFORNIA ONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA	
11 12 13	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:  LYLE WESLEY ROYER, M.D. 3222 La Cienega Avenue, Apt. 205 Culver City, CA 90232	Case No. 800-2016-024236 PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's		
15	Certificate No. C34553		
16	Respondent.	•	
17			
18	Complainant alleges:	•	
19	PAR	TIES	
20	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant)	brings this Petition to Revoke Probation	
21	(hereinafter, "Petition") solely in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical		
22	Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about September 11, 1972, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
24	Certificate Number C34553 to Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D. (Respondent). This Physician's and		
25	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at times relevant to the charges brought herein,		
26	but expired on July 31, 2016.		
27	CURRENT DISCIPLINE		
28	3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the	ne Matter of Accusation Against Lyle Wesley	
		1	

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

Royer, M.D.," Case No. 800-2015-011162, the Medical Board of California issued a Decision and Order, effective May 11, 2016 (a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein as if fully set forth, (the "Decision and Order")), in which Respondent's California Physician's and Surgeon's certificate number C34553 was revoked. The revocation, however, was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for a period of seven (7) years with special terms and conditions, which include but are not limited to: the abstention from alcohol and from use of controlled substances; biological fluid testing; psychotherapy; and, a prohibition against the solo practice of medicine.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Petition is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 5. Section 22 of the Code, states:

"Board,' as used in any provision of this code, refers to the board in which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and 'agency."

- 6. Section 315.4 of the Code, states in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board, as described in Section 315, may adopt regulations authorizing the board to order a licensee on probation or in a diversion program to cease practice for major violations and when the board orders a licensee to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation pursuant to the uniform and specific standards adopted and authorized under Section 315.

"..."

- "(c) A cease practice order under this section shall not constitute disciplinary action."
- 7. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondent admitted to the allegations in the accusation.

one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.

#### 8. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
  - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
  - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
  - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- "(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine. Section 2314 shall not apply to this subdivision. This subdivision shall become operative upon the implementation of the proposed registration program described in Section 2052.5.

27

28

"(h) The repeated failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board."

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS / PROBATION VIOLATIONS

- 9. On or about May 11, 2016, the Board issued its Disciplinary Order placing Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's certificate under probation with terms and conditions that included seven (7) years of probation, and requirements that he undergo psychotherapy and biological fluid testing. Respondent's discipline arose after the Board filed an Accusation which alleged, among other things, that Respondent suffered from mental and physical impairment based upon the evaluations of two experts who each found that Respondent could not practice medicine in a safe manner. At his interview with an investigator with the Department of Consumer Affairs, Respondent admitted to a history of drinking alcohol and to current use of Valium (diazepam), a benzodiazepine and a California Schedule IV controlled substance, which he obtained from a "friend;" and Respondent further explained that although he had been living in an apartment for the past few months, prior to that he had been homeless; and that when he was arrested for public intoxication in September 2004, Respondent was spending the night in his car. Respondent also acknowledged that at the time of his DUI arrest in 1990, he had consumed several alcoholic drinks before driving home. He also explained that he was an aspiring writer and that "writers drink," and that he had started to drink heavily in the past when he left the fulltime practice of radiology to focus on writing. He was also drinking heavily in the year prior to his November 2014 DUI arrest.
- 10. Respondent's ability to practice medicine safely is impaired because he is not abiding by his terms of probation monitoring and his conditions are untreated. Respondent has materially failed to comply with the terms of his probation. Respondent's violations of the terms of his probationary order with the Board are willful and continuing.
- 11. On or about May 11, 2016, D.G., an inspector with the Board's probation unit conducted a probation intake interview with Respondent at the Health Quality Investigations Unit's Glendale District Office. During this interview, Respondent and D.G. reviewed the terms

and conditions in his Disciplinary Order and the required time frames of compliance. At the conclusion of the interview, Respondent signed the Acknowledgment of Decision and Quarterly Declaration Due Dates form. However, Respondent has failed to comply with the terms and conditions of probation as set forth below:

#### CONDITION NO. 4--PSYCHOTHERAPY

12. Condition number 4 of the Disciplinary Order requires that within 60 calendar days of its effective date, Respondent submit to the Board, the name and qualifications of a California-licensed board certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist for prior approval, and that after approval, Respondent receive ongoing psychotherapy treatment until the Board deems that no further psychotherapy is necessary. On or about May 11, 2016, a Board probation inspector advised Respondent to submit to the Board by July 10, 2016, the name and qualifications of a California board-certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist for approval. On or about May 13, 2016, the Board mailed a follow-up letter to Respondent regarding the requested information. However, as of September 16, 2016, Respondent has failed to comply with this condition.

#### CONDITION NO. 5--MEDICAL EVALUATION AND TREATMENT

13. Condition number 5 of the Disciplinary Order requires that within 30 calendar days of its effective date, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board, Respondent undergo a medical evaluation by a Board-appointed physician who shall furnish a medical report to the Board; and that following the evaluation, Respondent comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating physician within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board. On or about May 11, 2016, a Board probation inspector advised Respondent to undergo a medical evaluation by June 10, 2016, and that he must comply with all restrictions and recommendations following the evaluation within 15 calendar days of notice. On or about June 2, 2016, Respondent underwent the evaluation by Dr. L.D. who recommended that Respondent undergo further testing. On or about July 7, 2016, the Board advised Respondent in writing of the results of Dr. L.D.'s evaluation and that further testing was recommended. On or about July 26, 2016, Dr. L.D. amended his report stating that Respondent must undergo a psychiatric evaluation to assess his level of dementia and that he must complete

neuropsychological testing, and on that same date, the Board notified the Respondent in writing that he must undergo a psychiatric evaluation to assess his level of dementia and that he must complete neuropsychological testing. Respondent was also directed in writing to attend a psychological evaluation by Dr. S.D. on August 11, 2016. However, Respondent failed to attend that evaluation. As of September 16, 2016, Respondent has failed to comply with Condition 5 of the Disciplinary Order.

#### CONDITION NO. 7--CLINICAL DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION AND REPORTS

14. Condition number 7 of the Disciplinary Order requires that within 30 calendar days of its effective date, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board, Respondent undergo and complete a clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary, by a Board-appointed physician who shall furnish a written report to the Board including a determination about whether Respondent is safe to practice medicine. Dr. L.D. conducted this evaluation as well and his determinations are set forth in paragraph 13 above.

#### CONDITION NO. 9--BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING

submit to biological fluid testing, including between 52 to 104 random tests during the first year of probation. On or about May 2, 2016, the Board mailed a letter to Respondent advising him to enroll with FirstLab for biological fluid testing by May 11, 2016. Further, on or about May 11, 2016, a Board probation inspector advised Respondent at his intake interview that he was required to immediately submit to biological fluid testing and make daily contact with the testing program. On or about July 6, 2016, the Board mailed a letter to Respondent advising him that he is in violation of this probation condition, "Biological Fluid Testing." On or about August 16, 2016, a Board inspector learned that Respondent's account with the Board's biological fluid testing program vendor, FirstLab, was suspended due to non-payment. As of September 16, 2016, Respondent continues to be in violation of Condition Number 9 of the Disciplinary Order.

#### CONDITION NO. 10-SUBSTANCE ABUSE SUPPORT GROUP MEETINGS

16. Condition number 10 of the Disciplinary Order requires that Respondent submit to the Board, the name of a substance abuse support group which he shall attend for the duration of

probation, and that Respondent attend substance abuse support group meetings at least once per week, or as ordered by the Board. On or about May 11, 2016, a Board probation inspector advised Respondent at his intake interview that he was required to submit to the Board, the name of a substance abuse support group and that he was required to attend those meetings for the duration of his probation. On or about June 10, 2016, the Board's probation inspector received a letter from Respondent stating that his support groups were: Promises Rehab located at 2045 South Barrington Avenue, Los Angeles, CA; and Matrix Substance Abuse Program located at 1849 Sawtelle Boulevard, Suite 100, Los Angeles, CA. On or about August 17, 2016, the Board's probation inspector contacted Matrix and provided them with an authorization for Release of Psychiatric Information signed by Respondent. However, their Director responded by stating that Respondent was not one of their clients. In addition, on or about August 17, 2016, the Board's probation inspector contacted Promises Treatment Center and provided them with an authorization for Release of Psychiatric Information form signed by Respondent. However, their Director in response, stated that Respondent was not one of their clients. As of September 16, 2016, Respondent continues to be in violation of Condition Number 10 of the Disciplinary Order.

#### CONDITION NO. 12--FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSING LICENSEES

17. Condition 12 of the Disciplinary Order sets forth various probation violations. On or about May 11, 2016, the Board advised Respondent that if he failed to fully comply with any term or condition of probation, it is a violation of his probation. Respondent has failed to comply with the following conditions of his probation: 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18; and 21.

#### CONDITION NO. 16--QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS

18. Condition number 16 of the Disciplinary Order requires that Respondent submit to the Board, no later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter, quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether he has been in compliance with all the conditions of probation. On or about May 11, 2016, the Board advised Respondent at his intake interview that he was required to submit to the Board, quarterly declarations, including their due dates. On or about May 13, 2016, the Board sent to Respondent, a follow-up letter outlining the quarterly declaration due dates. On or about May 16, 2016, the

///

Board mailed a letter to Respondent advising him to send to the Board all quarterly declarations. On or about July 18, 2016, the Board mailed a letter to Respondent advising him that his Quarterly Declaration for the second quarter of 2016 has not been received. As of September 16, 2016, Respondent has failed to comply with Condition 16 of the Disciplinary Order.

#### CONDITION NO. 17--GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS

19. Condition number 17 of the Disciplinary Order requires Respondent to comply with certain conditions of probation including, informing the Board of any address changes; restricting him on where he can practice; requiring him to maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license; and informing the Board of any lengthy travel outside of California. On or about May 11, 2016, the Board advised Respondent at his intake interview that he was required to comply with these conditions. Nonetheless, as of September 16, 2016, Respondent's physician's and surgeon's certificate is expired and invalid.

#### CONDITION NO. 18--INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD

20. Condition number 18 of the Disciplinary Order requires that Respondent make himself available for interviews with the Board. On or about May 11, 2016, the Board advised Respondent at his intake interview that he was required to comply with this condition. On or about August 4, 2016, a Board probation inspector spoke to Respondent and followed up the conversation with an email to him informing him that a probation interview was scheduled for August 12, 2016. However, Respondent failed to show up for this scheduled probation interview. Later, on or about August 12, 2016, the Board's probation inspector emailed Respondent instructing him to call her to reschedule the interview. Nonetheless, as of September 16, 2016, Respondent has not rescheduled the interview, and is not in compliance with this condition.

#### CONDITION NO. 21--VIOLATION OF PROBATION

21. Condition number 21 of the Disciplinary Order provides that it is a violation of probation to violate any term or condition of probation. As of September 16, 2016, Respondent is in violation of his probation conditions 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18, and 21.

#### FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply: Psychotherapy)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 4 (Psychotherapy) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

#### SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

#### (Failure to Comply: Medical Evaluation and Treatment)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 5 (Medical Evaluation and Treatment) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

#### THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

#### (Failure to Comply: Clinical Diagnostic Evaluations and Reports)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 7 (Clinical Diagnostic Evaluation and Reports) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

#### FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

#### (Failure to Comply: Biological Fluid Testing)

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 9 (Biological Fluid Testing) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth. In addition, Respondent failed to check into the biological fluid testing program on the following dates in 2016: June 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30; and July 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. In addition, due to his non-compliance, Respondent did not comply with the following dates in 2016 which required the collection of biological fluid specimens: June 25, June 29 and June 30.

///

#### FIFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

#### (Failure to Comply: Substance Abuse Support Group Meetings)

26. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 10 (Substance Abuse Group Meetings) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

#### SIXTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

#### (Failure to Comply: Conditions for Substance Abusing Licensees)

27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 12 (Conditions for Substance Abusing Licensees) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

#### SIXTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

#### (Failure to Comply: Quarterly Declarations)

28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 16 (Quarterly Declarations) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth. In addition, on or about July 18, 2016, a Board probation inspector sent a letter to Respondent notifying him that he is out of compliance with this probation condition; namely that he failed to timely send to the Board his quarterly declaration for the second quarter of 2016.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

#### (Failure to Comply: General Probation Requirements)

29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 17 (General Probation Requirements) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply: Interview with the Board)

30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 18 (Interviews with the Board) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

#### NINTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply: Violation of Probation)

31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 2227, in that he violated Term and Condition Number 21 (Violation of Probation) of his probation in the Decision and Order. The facts are circumstances are set forth in paragraph 9 through 21, inclusive above, which are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

#### DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 32. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that Respondent has a history of alcohol related misconduct, including.
- 33. On or about March 28, 1991, in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, in proceedings entitled *People of the State of California vs. Lyle Wesley Royer*, case number 90F13047, Respondent was convicted, upon his plea of nolo contendre, of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b). Respondent's blood alcohol content test showed a BAC of 0.17 percent. He was placed on 36 months probation with terms and conditions.
- 34. The facts and circumstances surrounding the allegations in the Accusation that resulted in the Board's Decision and Order, as referenced in paragraph 9 above.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case No. 800-2015-011162 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby;
  - 2. Revoking and/or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C34553,

1	issued to Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D.;		
2	3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D.'s authority		
3	to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;		
4	4. Ordering Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California, if placed		
5	on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and		
6	5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
7	/6/ / 1		
8	DATED: October 21, 2016		
9	DATED: October 21, 2016  KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER  Executive Director		
10	Medical Board of California  Department of Consumer Affairs		
11	State of California  Complainant		
12	LA2016502977		
13	62150677.doc		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21   22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			

EXHIBIT A
Decision Case No. 800-2015-011162

# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation	)
Against:	)
	)
	)
Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D.	) Case No. 800-2015-011162
	)
Physician's and Surgeon's	)
Certificate No. C 34553	)
	)
Respondent	)
	)
	<del></del> ′

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 11, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED: April 11, 2016.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Howard Krauss, M.D., Chair

Panel B

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California E. A. Jones III Supervising Deputy Attorney General EDWARD KIM Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195729 Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7336 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMED AFFAIRS		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2015-011162	
12	LYLE WESLEY ROYER, M.D.	OAH No. 2015120402	
13	3435 Ocean Park Blvd., #107 - Box 399 Santa Monica, CA 90405	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
15	Certificate No. C34553		
16	Respondent		
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
18	entitled proceedings that the following matters a	re true:	
19		RTIES	
20	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer ("Complainant") is the Executive Director of the Medical		
21	Board of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in		
22	this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Edward Kim,		
23	Deputy Attorney General.		
24	2. Respondent Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D. ("Respondent") is represented in this		
25	proceeding by attorney Theodore A. Cohen, whose address is: Law Offices of Theodore A.		
26	Cohen, 3550 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1280, Los Angeles, CA 90010.		
27	3. On or about September 11, 1972, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's		
28	and Surgeon's Certificate No. C34553 to Lyle W	Vesley Royer, M.D. (Respondent). The	
	II		

2.7

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2015-011162 and will expire on July 31, 2016, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2015-011162 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on January 25, 2016. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2015-011162 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2015-011162. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 800-2015-011162.
  - 0. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to

discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C34553 issued to Respondent Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for seven (7) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u>. As part of probation, Respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for ninety (90 days) beginning the sixteenth (16th) day after the effective

date of this decision.

2. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - ABSTAIN FROM USE</u>. Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, dangerous drugs as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4022, and any drugs requiring a prescription. This prohibition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to Respondent by another practitioner for a bona fide illness or condition.

Within 15 calendar days of receiving any lawfully prescribed medications, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee of the: issuing practitioner's name, address, and telephone number; medication name, strength, and quantity; and issuing pharmacy name, address, and telephone number.

If Respondent has a confirmed positive biological fluid test for any substance (whether or not legally prescribed) and has not reported the use to the Board or its designee, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 15 days of the notification to cease practice. If the Respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the Respondent stipulates to a later hearing. A decision shall be received from the Administrative Law Judge or the Board within 15 days unless good cause can be shown for the delay. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 15 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of a such a request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

3. <u>ALCOHOL - ABSTAIN FROM USE</u>. Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.

If Respondent has a confirmed positive biological fluid test for alcohol, Respondent shall

receive a notification from the Board or its designee to immediately cease the practice of medicine. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until final decision on an accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. An accusation and/or petition to revoke probation shall be filed by the Board within 15 days of the notification to cease practice. If the Respondent requests a hearing on the accusation and/or petition to revoke probation, the Board shall provide the Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the Respondent stipulates to a later hearing. A decision shall be received from the Administrative Law Judge or the Board within 15 days unless good cause can be shown for the delay. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

If the Board does not file an accusation or petition to revoke probation within 15 days of the issuance of the notification to cease practice or does not provide Respondent with a hearing within 30 days of a such a request, the notification of cease practice shall be dissolved.

4. <u>PSYCHOTHERAPY</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval the name and qualifications of a California-licensed board certified psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist who has a doctoral degree in psychology and at least five years of postgraduate experience in the diagnosis and treatment of emotional and mental disorders. Upon approval, Respondent shall undergo and continue psychotherapy treatment, including any modifications to the frequency of psychotherapy, until the Board or its designee deems that no further psychotherapy is necessary.

The psychotherapist shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information the psychotherapist deems relevant and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee. Respondent shall cooperate in providing the psychotherapist any information and documents that the psychotherapist may deem pertinent.

Respondent shall have the treating psychotherapist submit quarterly status reports to the Board or its designee. The Board or its designee may require Respondent to undergo psychiatric evaluations by a Board-appointed board certified psychiatrist. If, prior to the completion of probation, Respondent is found to be mentally unfit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over Respondent's license and the

period of probation shall be extended until the Board determines that Respondent is mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions.

Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychotherapy and psychiatric evaluations.

5. <u>MEDICAL EVALUATION AND TREATMENT</u>. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board or its designee, Respondent shall undergo a medical evaluation by a Board-appointed physician who shall consider any information provided by the Board or designee and any other information the evaluating physician deems relevant and shall furnish a medical report to the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the evaluating physician any information and documentation that the evaluating physician may deem pertinent.

Following the evaluation, Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating physician within 15 calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee. If Respondent is required by the Board or its designee to undergo medical treatment, Respondent shall within 30 calendar days of the requirement notice, submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval the name and qualifications of a California licensed treating physician of Respondent's choice. Upon approval of the treating physician, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days undertake medical treatment and shall continue such treatment until further notice from the Board or its designee.

The treating physician shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee or any other information the treating physician may deem pertinent prior to commencement of treatment. Respondent shall have the treating physician submit quarterly reports to the Board or its designee indicating whether or not the Respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely. Respondent shall provide the Board or its designee with any and all medical records pertaining to treatment, the Board or its designee deems necessary.

If, prior to the completion of probation, Respondent is found to be physically incapable of resuming the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over Respondent's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Board determines that Respondent is physically capable of resuming the practice of medicine

without restrictions. Respondent shall pay the cost of the medical evaluation(s) and treatment.

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Board or its designee of its determination that Respondent is medically fit to practice safely.

6. <u>SOLO PRACTICE PROHIBITION</u>. Respondent is prohibited from engaging in the solo practice of medicine. Prohibited solo practice includes, but is not limited to, a practice where: 1) Respondent merely shares office space with another physician but is not affiliated for purposes of providing patient care, or 2) Respondent is the sole physician practitioner at that location.

If Respondent fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

If, during the course of the probation, the Respondent's practice setting changes and the Respondent is no longer practicing in a setting in compliance with this Decision, the Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee within 5 calendar days of the practice setting change. If Respondent fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the practice setting change, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

#### 7. CLINICAL DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATIONS AND REPORTS:

Within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on whatever periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board or its designee, respondent shall undergo and complete a clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary, by a Board-appointed board certified physician and surgeon. The examiner shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information he or she deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee.

The clinical diagnostic evaluation shall be conducted by a licensed physician and surgeon who holds a valid, unrestricted license, has three (3) years' experience in providing evaluations of physicians and surgeons with substance abuse disorders, and is approved by the Board or its designee. The clinical diagnostic evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with acceptable professional standards for conducting substance abuse clinical diagnostic evaluations. The evaluator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with respondent within the last five (5) years. The evaluator shall provide an objective, unbiased, and independent evaluation. The clinical diagnostic evaluation report shall set forth, in the evaluator's opinion, whether respondent has a substance abuse problem, whether respondent is a threat to himself or others, and recommendations for substance abuse treatment, practice restrictions, or other recommendations related to respondent's rehabilitation and ability to practice safely. If the evaluator determines during the evaluation process that respondent is a threat to himself or others, the evaluator shall notify the Board within twenty-four (24) hours of such a determination.

In formulating his or her opinion as to whether respondent is safe to return to either parttime or full-time practice and what restrictions or recommendations should be imposed, including
participation in an inpatient or outpatient treatment program, the evaluator shall consider the
following factors: respondent's license type; respondent's history; respondent's documented
length of sobriety (i.e., length of time that has elapsed since respondent's last substance use);
respondent's scope and pattern of substance abuse; respondent's treatment history, medical
history and current medical condition; the nature, duration and severity of respondent's substance
abuse problem or problems; and whether respondent is a threat to himself or the public.

For all clinical diagnostic evaluations, a final written report shall be provided to the Board no later than ten (10) days from the date the evaluator is assigned the matter. If the evaluator requests additional information or time to complete the evaluation and report, an extension may be granted, but shall not exceed thirty (30) days from the date the evaluator was originally assigned the matter.

The Board shall review the clinical diagnostic evaluation report within five (5) business

days of receipt to determine whether respondent is safe to return to either part-time or full-time practice, and what restrictions or recommendations shall be imposed on respondent based on the recommendations made by the evaluator. Respondent shall not be returned to practice until he has at least thirty (30) days of negative biological fluid tests or biological fluid tests indicating that he has not used, consumed, ingested, or administered to himself a prohibited substance, as defined in section 1361.51, subdivision (e), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference).

Clinical diagnostic evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of this Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. The cost of the clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary by the examiner, the Board or its designee, shall be borne by the licensee.

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified by the Board or its designee that he is fit to practice medicine safely. The period of time that respondent is not practicing medicine shall not be counted toward completion of the term of probation. Respondent shall undergo biological fluid testing as required in this Decision at least two (2) times per week while awaiting the notification from the Board if he is fit to practice medicine safely.

Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the examiner conducting the clinical diagnostic evaluation within fifteen (15) calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

### 8. NOTICE OF EMPLOYER OR SUPERVISOR INFORMATION

Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall provide to the Board the names, physical addresses, mailing addresses, and telephone numbers of any and all employers and supervisors. Respondent shall also provide specific, written consent for the Board, respondent's worksite monitor, and respondent's employers and supervisors to communicate regarding respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring.

For purposes of this section, "supervisors" shall include the Chief of Staff and Health or Well Being Committee Chair, or equivalent, if applicable, when the respondent has medical staff privileges.

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

### 

## 1.5

## 

## 

### 

### 

## 

## 

#### 

### 

### 

## 

#### 9. BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING

Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall make daily contact with the Board or its designee to determine whether biological fluid testing is required. Respondent shall be tested on the date of the notification as directed by the Board or its designee. The Board may order a respondent to undergo a biological fluid test on any day, at any time, including weekends and holidays. Except when testing on a specific date as ordered by the Board or its designee, the scheduling of biological fluid testing shall be done on a random basis. The cost of biological fluid testing shall be borne by the respondent.

During the first year of probation, respondent shall be subject to 52 to 104 random tests. During the second year of probation and for the duration of the probationary term, up to five (5) years, respondent shall be subject to 36 to 104 random tests per year. Only if there has been no positive biological fluid tests in the previous five (5) consecutive years of probation, may testing be reduced to one (1) time per month. Nothing precludes the Board from increasing the number of random tests to the first-year level of frequency for any reason.

Prior to practicing medicine, respondent shall contract with a laboratory or service, approved in advance by the Board or its designee, that will conduct random, unannounced, observed, biological fluid testing and meets all the following standards:

- (a) Its specimen collectors are either certified by the Drug and Alcohol Testing Industry Association or have completed the training required to serve as a collector for the United States Department of Transportation.
- (b) Its specimen collectors conform to the current United States Department of Transportation Specimen Collection Guidelines
- (c) Its testing locations comply with the Urine Specimen Collection Guidelines published by the United States Department of Transportation without regard to the type of test administered.

- (d) Its specimen collectors observe the collection of testing specimens.
- (e) Its laboratories are certified and accredited by the United States Department of Health and Human Services.
- (f) Its testing locations shall submit a specimen to a laboratory within one (1) business day of receipt and all specimens collected shall be handled pursuant to chain of custody procedures. The laboratory shall process and analyze the specimens and provide legally defensible test results to the Board within seven (7) business days of receipt of the specimen. The Board will be notified of non-negative results within one (1) business day and will be notified of negative test results within seven (7) business days.
- (g) Its testing locations possess all the materials, equipment, and technical expertise necessary in order to test respondent on any day of the week.
- (h) Its testing locations are able to scientifically test for urine, blood, and hair specimens for the detection of alcohol and illegal and controlled substances.
- (i) It maintains testing sites located throughout California.
- (j) It maintains an automated 24-hour toll-free telephone system and/or a secure on-line computer database that allows the respondent to check in daily for testing.
- (k) It maintains a secure, HIPAA-compliant website or computer system that allows staff access to drug test results and compliance reporting information that is available 24 hours a day.
- (!) It employs or contracts with toxicologists that are licensed physicians and have knowledge of substance abuse disorders and the appropriate medical training to interpret and evaluate laboratory biological fluid test results, medical histories, and any other information relevant to biomedical information.
- (m) It will not consider a toxicology screen to be negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the respondent holds a valid prescription for the substance.

Prior to changing testing locations for any reason, including during vacation or other travel, alternative testing locations must be approved by the Board and meet the requirements above.

The contract shall require that the laboratory directly notify the Board or its designee of

non-negative results within one (1) business day and negative test results within seven (7) business days of the results becoming available. Respondent shall maintain this laboratory or service contract during the period of probation.

A certified copy of any laboratory test result may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and respondent.

If a biological fluid test result indicates respondent has used, consumed, ingested, or administered to himself a prohibited substance, the Board shall order respondent to cease practice and instruct respondent to leave any place of work where respondent is practicing medicine or providing medical services. The Board shall immediately notify all of respondent's employers, supervisors and work monitors, if any, that respondent may not practice medicine or provide medical services while the cease-practice order is in effect.

A biological fluid test will not be considered negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the practitioner holds a valid prescription for the substance. If no prohibited substance use exists, the Board shall lift the cease-practice order within one (1) business day.

After the issuance of a cease-practice order, the Board shall determine whether the positive biological fluid test is in fact evidence of prohibited substance use by consulting with the specimen collector and the laboratory, communicating with the licensee, his or her treating physician(s), other health care provider, or group facilitator, as applicable.

For purposes of this condition, the terms "biological fluid testing" and "testing" mean the acquisition and chemical analysis of a respondent's urine, blood, breath, or hair.

For purposes of this condition, the term "prohibited substance" means an illegal drug, a lawful drug not prescribed or ordered by an appropriately licensed health care provider for use by respondent and approved by the Board, alcohol, or any other substance the respondent has been instructed by the Board not to use, consume, ingest, or administer to himself.

If the Board confirms that a positive biological fluid test is evidence of use of a prohibited substance, respondent has committed a major violation, as defined in 16 CCR § 1361.52(a) (a copy of which is attached as <u>Exhibit B</u> and incorporated herein by reference), and the Board shall impose any or all of the consequences set forth in 16 CCR § 1361.52(b)(a copy of which is

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

attached as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference), in addition to any other terms or conditions the Board determines are necessary for public protection or to enhance respondent's rehabilitation.

#### SUBSTANCE ABUSE SUPPORT GROUP MEETINGS 10.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designce, for its prior approval, the name of a substance abuse support group which he shall attend for the duration of probation. Respondent shall attend substance abuse support group meetings at least once per week, or as ordered by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall pay all substance abuse support group meeting costs.

The facilitator of the substance abuse support group meeting shall have a minimum of three (3) years experience in the treatment and rehabilitation of substance abuse, and shall be licensed or certified by the state or nationally certified organizations. The facilitator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with respondent within the last five (5) years. Respondent's previous participation in a substance abuse group support meeting led by the same facilitator does not constitute a prohibited current or former financial, personal, or business relationship.

The facilitator shall provide a signed document to the Board or its designee showing respondent's name, the group name, the date and location of the meeting, respondent's attendance, and respondent's level of participation and progress. The facilitator shall report any unexcused absence by respondent from any substance abuse support group meeting to the Board, or its designee, within twenty-four (24) hours of the unexcused absence.

## WORKSITE MONITOR FOR SUBSTANCE-ABUSING LICENSEE

Within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a worksite monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physician and surgeon, other licensed health care professional if no physician and surgeon is available, or, as approved by the Board or its designee, a person in a position of authority who is capable of monitoring the respondent at work.

The worksite monitor shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or familial

relationship with respondent, or any other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render impartial and unbiased reports to the Board or its designee.

If it is impractical for anyone but respondent's employer to serve as the worksite monitor, this requirement may be waived by the Board or its designee, however, under no circumstances shall respondent's worksite monitor be an employee or supervisee of the licensee.

The worksite monitor shall have an active unrestricted license with no disciplinary action within the last five (5) years, and shall sign an affirmation that he or she has reviewed the terms and conditions of respondent's disciplinary order and agrees to monitor respondent as set forth by the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall pay all worksite monitoring costs.

The worksite monitor shall have face-to-face contact with respondent in the work environment on as frequent a basis as determined by the Board or its designee, but not less than once per week; interview other staff in the office regarding respondent's behavior, if requested by the Board or its designee; and review respondent's work attendance.

The worksite monitor shall verbally report any suspected substance abuse to the Board and respondent's employer or supervisor within one (1) business day of occurrence. If the suspected substance abuse does not occur during the Board's normal business hours, the verbal report shall be made to the Board or its designee within one (1) hour of the next business day. A written report that includes the date, time, and location of the suspected abuse; respondent's actions; and any other information deemed important by the worksite monitor shall be submitted to the Board or its designee within 48 hours of the occurrence.

The worksite monitor shall complete and submit a written report monthly or as directed by the Board or its designee which shall include the following: (1) respondent's name and Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number; (2) the worksite monitor's name and signature; (3) the worksite monitor's license number, if applicable; (4) the location or location(s) of the worksite; (5) the dates respondent had face-to-face contact with the worksite monitor; (6) the names of worksite staff interviewed, if applicable; (7) a report of respondent's work attendance;

(8) any change in respondent's behavior and/or personal habits; and (9) any indicators that can lead to suspected substance abuse by respondent. Respondent shall complete any required consent forms and execute agreements with the approved worksite monitor and the Board, or its designee, authorizing the Board, or its designee, and worksite monitor to exchange information.

If the worksite monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within five calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within fifteen (15) calendar days.

If respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within sixty (60) calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

## 12. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION CONDITION FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSING</u> LICENSEES

Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation.

- A. If respondent commits a major violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (a), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference), the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:
- (1) Issue an immediate cease-practice order and order respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference), at respondent's expense. The cease-practice order issued by the Board or its designed shall state that respondent must test negative for at least a month of continuous biological fluid testing before being allowed to resume practice. For purposes of the determining the length of time a respondent must test negative while undergoing continuous biological fluid testing following issuance of a cease-practice order, a month is defined as thirty

calendar (30) days. Respondent may not resume the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Board or its designee that he or she may do so.

- (2) Increase the frequency of biological fluid testing.
- (3) Refer respondent for further disciplinary action, such as suspension, revocation, or other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- B. If respondent commits a minor violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (c), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference), the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:
  - (1) Issue a cease-practice order;
  - (2) Order practice limitations;
  - (3) Order or increase supervision of respondent;
  - (4) Order increased documentation;
  - (5) Issue a citation and fine, or a warning letter;
- (6) Order respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by reference), at respondent's expense;
  - (7) Take any other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- C. Nothing in this Decision shall be considered a limitation on the Board's authority to revoke respondent's probation if he or she has violated any term or condition of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 13. <u>NOTIFICATION</u>. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the

Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 14. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u>. During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 15. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 16. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

## 17. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

## Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

#### Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

#### Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

#### License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

#### Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 18. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 19. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 20. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 21. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 22. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
  Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
  the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
  The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
  determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
  and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
  shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
  designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

.			
1	submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.		
2	Pated: 7 / 1// Respectfully submitted,		
3	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
4	Attorney General of California E. A. JONES III		
5	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
6			
7	EDWARD KIM Deputy Attorney General		
8	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10			
11	LA2015602958 61862802.doc		
12	01802802.uoc		
13			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	21	_	

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2015-011162)

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 800-2015-011162

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA KAMALA D. HARRIS SACRAMENTO SOFT 14 20\_ 1 Attorney General of California E. A. JONES III 2 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 EDWARD KIM Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195729 4 California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 5 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7336 6 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 7 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE 8 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 800-2015-011162 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 11 LYLE WESLEY ROYER, M.D. 12 3425 Ocean Park Blvd., Ste 107, PMB P ACCUSATION Santa Monica, CA 90405 13 14 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C34553 15 Respondent. 16 17 Complainant alleges: **PARTIES** 18 Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official 19 1. capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer 20 21 Affairs. On or about September 11, 1972, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's 2. 22 and Surgeon's Certificate Number C34553 to Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D. (Respondent). The 23 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 24 charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2016, unless renewed. 25 JURISDICTION 26 This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), 27 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section 28

ACCUSATION

references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
  - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensec who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
  - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
  - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
  - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- "(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine. Section 2314 shall not

apply to this subdivision. This subdivision shall become operative upon the implementation of the proposed registration program described in Section 2052.5.

- "(h) The repeated failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board."
  - 6. Section 2236 of the Code states:
- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
- "(b) The district attorney, city attorney, or other prosecuting agency shall notify the Division of Medical Quality[¹] of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and describe the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.
- "(c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."
  - 7. Section 2239 of the Code states:

Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2002, "Division of Medical Quality" or "Division" shall be deemed to refer to the Medical Board of California.

"(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.

"(b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Division of Medical Quality may order discipline of the licensee in accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

## 8. Section 822 of the Code, states:

"If a licensing agency determines that its licentiate's ability to practice his or her profession safely is impaired because the licentiate is mentally ill, or physically ill affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by any one of the following methods:

- "(a) Revoking the licentiate's certificate or license.
- "(b) Suspending the licentiate's right to practice.
- "(c) Placing the licentiate on probation.
- "(d) Taking such other action in relation to the licentiate as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper.

"The licensing agency shall not reinstate a revoked or suspended certificate or license until it has received competent evidence of the absence or control of the condition which caused its

ລ. ວຍ action and until it is satisfied that with due regard for the public health and safety the person's right to practice his or her profession may be safely reinstated."

9. Section 2238 of the Code states:

"A violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct."

10. Section 11170 of the Health and Safety Code states:

"No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself."

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act."

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Monica Police Department responded to a radio call that Respondent was loitering in a vehicle in front of 2272 24<sup>th</sup> Street in Santa Monica, California. Upon arriving, the officer observed Respondent in front of his car (a white 2009 Ford); Respondent appeared to be confused. When the officer approached Respondent, the officer noticed several bottles of alcoholic beverages in the backseat of the vehicle. Within arms length of the Respondent was an open bottle of vodka that was 90 percent full. Respondent could not initially respond to the officer's questions and appeared confused. Eventually, Respondent stated that he wanted to leave, but first needed to sleep in his car. The officer observed that Respondent's speech was thick and slurred. Although

10

14

17 18

19 20

2122

2324

2526

27

28

the officer asked Respondent to exit his vehicle, for approximately 10 minutes, Respondent attempted to get up, but fell back into the seat of his car several times. The officer assisted Respondent out of his car and his balance was very unstable. The officer asked Respondent to lock his vehicle several times, but he could not understand. The officer asked Respondent to walk away from his vehicle, but Respondent could not walk. He appeared to be unfamiliar with the area and could not walk away. Based upon Respondent's objective symptoms, his level of confusion and poor balance, the officer concluded that Respondent was under the influence of alcohol. Respondent was unable to care for his safety and did not have an adult who could care for him. The officer placed Respondent under arrest for violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f) (public intoxication).

13. On or about October 31, 2014, at approximately 10:40 p.m., two officers of the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) received a call to respond to a driving under the influence (DUI) traffic collision at 10623 Venice Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90034 (the location of a McDonald's fast food franchise). A witness explained the Respondent appeared to be having difficulty driving at McDonald's. He stated that Respondent made several attempts to get back in his vehicle but was having difficulty moving around. At the scene, the officers observed Respondent standing outside of his vehicle, with the keys in the ignition and he was being tended to by the Los Angeles Fire Department. Upon making contact with Respondent, an LAPD officer could smell a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from his person and also his eyes were bloodshot. Respondent stated he was trying to get some food at the McDonald's drive-thru. Respondent stated he had difficulty turning the corner around the building for the drive-thru and stopped past the drive-thru window. At approximately 11:00 p.m., an officer administered the field sobriety test to Respondent and due to his displaying the objective symptoms of DUI, and exhibiting psychophysical impairment, the officer formed the opinion that Respondent was under the influence of an intoxicant and unable to safely operate a motor vehicle in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152 (A). Respondent was transported back to the Pacific station for further investigation. While at the Pacific station, Respondent was administered the breathalyzer test. On his second attempt, the BAC readings were .11% and .11%.

14. On or about November 14, 2014, in Los Angeles County Superior Court in case number 4WA01926, entitled *People vs. Lyle Royer*, Respondent was charged with driving under the influence. Thereafter, on or about January 13, 2015, the complaint was amended to add a violation of Vehicle Code section 23103 (Count 3), misdemeanor, and Respondent was convicted, upon his plea of nolo contendre, of violating Count 3 (Vehicle Code section 23103 (driving a vehicle on a highway or in an off-street parking facility, with wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property)). The remaining charges were dismissed.

15. Respondent was sentenced to probation for a period of 36 months, with terms and conditions, including, among other things, that Respondent enroll in an alcohol and other drug education and counseling program, pay fines and fees, serve 13 days in jail, be prohibited from driving a motor vehicle with any intoxicant in his body, and submit to and complete tests of breath, blood, urine, or saliva when requested by a peace officer.

## ORDER DATED June 25, 2015

16. On June 25, 2015, the Board issued an order requiring that Respondent submit to a mental and physical evaluation, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and incorporated herein as if fully set forth.

#### INTERVIEW

17. On or about July 27, 2015, an investigator with the Department of Consumer Affairs interviewed Respondent. He admitted to a history of drinking alcohol and to current use of Valium (diazepam), a benzodiazepine and a California Schedule IV controlled substance, which he obtained from a "friend." Respondent explained that he had been living in an apartment for the past few months but prior to that, he had been homeless. When he was arrested for public intoxication in September 2014, Respondent was staying in his car for the night. He acknowledged his DUI in 1990 and that he had consumed several drinks before driving home from a date on that occasion. He also explained that he was an aspiring writer and that "writers drink." He started to drink heavily when he left the full-time practice of radiology to focus on writing. He was also drinking heavily in the year prior to the November 2014 DUI arrest.

18. On July 28, 2015, Respondent met for over two and a half hours with N.L., M.D., board certified in Psychiatry and Neurology. Respondent reported a history of periods of daily alcohol use to Dr. N.L. over the past ten years, although there were also periods of sobriety. Respondent also explained that he had a recent period of homelessness and binge drinking. However, Respondent stated that by Christmas 2014, he stopped drinking and was no longer homeless. Respondent also reported that he lived in an apartment for the past four months. Respondent told Dr. N.L. that he has a Valium prescription which he took five days prior. However, a CURES report of Respondent for the past year did not list Valium.

19. Although Dr. N.L. found that Respondent could safely practice medicine, he also found that Respondent required "monitoring, treatment, oversight and other terms and conditions" in order to practice. He also recommended that Respondent refrain from taking any benzodiazepines or other medications that could be abused.

## PHYSICAL EVALUATION

20. In or around August 10, 2015, Respondent met for approximately 70 minutes with J.G., M.D., board certified in Internal Medicine and Addiction Medicine. According to Dr. J.G., Respondent was more coherent during his subject interview on July 27, 2015 with the Department of Consumer Affairs investigator than when Dr. J.G. questioned him. During his interview with Dr. J.G., Respondent exhibited varying levels of cognitive impairment. Dr. J.G. believes that Respondent suffers from substantial cognitive impairment due to dementia, which is probably due to alcohol and that neuropsychological testing is required to determine whether Respondent could practice medicine safely.

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Dangerous Use of Alcohol and Self Use of Controlled Substance)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2239 of the Code, in that he used, prescribed, or administered to himself a controlled substance and/or alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, or to any other person or to the public, and/or to an extent that such use impaired his ability to practice medicine safely.

generally, in that he committed unprofessional conduct. The circumstances are as follows:

30. The allegations of the First, Second, Third and Fourth Causes for Discipline are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

# DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 31. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent,
  Complainant alleges that Respondent has a history of alcohol related misconduct, which involves
  the allegations similar to those in the present pleading.
- 32. On or about March 28, 1991, in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, in proceedings entitled *People of the State of California vs. Lyle Wesley Royer*, case number 90F13047, Respondent was convicted, upon his plea of nolo contendre, of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b). Respondent's blood alcohol content test showed a BAC of 0.17 percent. He was placed on 36 months probation with terms and conditions.

### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C34553, issued to Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- 3. Ordering Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
  - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper,

DATED: <u>September 16, 2015</u>

KIMBERLY KIROHMEYER

Executive Director Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California E. A. JONES III Supervising Deputy Attorney General EDWARD KIM Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195729 California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-7336 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 Attorneys for Petitioner	DE TUE	
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Compel Mental and Physical Examination of:	Case No. 800-2015-011162	
12	LYLE WESLEY ROYER, M.D.	[PROPOSED] ORDER COMPELLING A MENTAL AND PHYSICAL	
13	3425 Ocean Park Blvd. Stc. 107 PMB P Santa Monica, CA 90405	EXAMINATION	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's		
15	Certificate No. C34553	[Bus. & Prof. Code, § 820]	
16	Respondent.		
17		J	
18	The Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board) having petitioned the		
19	Board for an order pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 820 to compel LYLE		
20	WESLEY ROYER, M.D. (Respondent), Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. C34553, to		
21	undergo a mental examination and/or a physical examination, and having read and considered all		
22	the documents on file herein, it appears to the Board that Respondent may be unable to practice		
23	medicine safely because his ability to practice is impaired due to mental illness, and/or physical		
24	illness affecting competency.		
25	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 820 that:		
26	1. Respondent Lyle Wesley Royer, M.D., shall submit to a mental examination and a		
27	physical examination by one or more physicians and surgeons, all designated by the Board or its		
28	designee, in order to determine whether he is impaired due to a mental and/or physical illness that		

ORDER

affects his competency to practice medicine safely;

- 2. The examination(s) shall be conducted at a time convenient to Respondent and the examiner(s), and no later than thirty (30) days from the date of service of this Order;
- 3. The examiner(s) shall prepare a detailed written report(s) of the findings and conclusions of his/her/their examination(s). The report(s) of the examiner(s) may be received as direct evidence in any administrative proceedings that may be filed as a result of these examinations.
- 4. Any failure of Respondent to comply with this order shall constitute grounds for disciplinary action suspending or revoking his physician's and surgeon's certificate pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 821, 2220 and 2234.

IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 25th DAY OF June , 2015.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA Dev GnanaDev, M.D., Chair Panel B